

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,779	1	10/25/2003	HSIANG OUYANG		2778	
36990	7590	01/10/2006		EXAM	INER	
36990 7590 01/10/2006 HSIANG OUYANG 540 CITADEL CIRCLE WESTMONT, IL 60559				JOHNSON, JERROLD D		
		· 		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3728		
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,779	OUYANG, HSIANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Jerrold Johnson	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	_
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become a	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication INTHIS from the mailing date of this communication	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 C	October 2003.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' '		(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a priority under 35 LLS C	8 110(a) (d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.C.	§ 119(a)-(u) 01 (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		· ·	
application from the International Burea	•	· ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
•			
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the holes in blades set forth in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "blade" in claims 1 and 2 is used within the claim to mean "flap", while the accepted meaning is "a portion of a knife." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. US 6,896,134 in view of either Bliven et al. US 6,047,572 or Yang US 2004/0190237 and further in view of Akins US 6,149,001.

Art Unit: 3728

Russell, specifically in Figs. 4-7, discloses a cover for a notebook computer comprising a bottom cover 62 for adhering to the bottom of the notebook, the bottom cover has an upstanding structure that meets the structure of the "blade" set forth in the claim. Russell further discloses a top cover having blades 74,75 on the front and back sides for enclosing the notebook by folding the blades down to adhere to the bottom side of the bottom cover, the shape of the blades being designed for different make(s)(sic).

Russell further discloses in col. 2, the benefits of being able to operate the computer while the computer is attached to the cover, and in Fig. 6, the benefit of making the cover accessible to I/O devices while the cover is connected to the computer.

Russell does not disclose using the anti-theft lock slot to attach the cover to the computer, or the explicit use of holes in the blades for I/O connections.

Bliven and Yang both teach the benefits of using the anti-theft lock slot to attach a device (a docking station in Bliven, and a strap in Yang) to a computer. In both examples the device includes a hole in it to allow an anti-theft lock to pass through it and to thus attach the device to a computer.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the cover of Russell with the teaching of either Bliven or Yang so that a hole would be provided in the blade structure of the base and through that hole a locking device could be used to attach the bottom cover to the computer.

Additionally, Akins teaches the use of openings such as that within element 131 in a blade 32 so as to allow I/O devices to connect to the computer.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the cover of Russell with the teaching of Akins so that I/O devices can be connected to the computer while the cover is attached to the computer.

Re claim 2, Russell discloses a strap, which is an art recognized equivalent to a rope, for linking up the cover. The means through which the strap is connected to the cover comprise "connectors."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerrold Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 to 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,779 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDJ A

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700